PROJECT TEAM

PlanIT Geo™
developers of TreePlotter

DATA COLLECTION: INVENTORY

ANALYSIS, ENGAGEMENT, PLANNING & GIS TEAM

TJ Wood
Director of Field Services

Inventory Project Manager

Managed over 1M trees inventoried

Rocky Yosek
Field Services Operations & Account Manager

Inventory Logistics & Coordination

1,000's of trees inventoried

Chris Peiffer
Director of Urban Forestry Strategy

Senior Advisor & Strategist

50+ planning projects

Alex Hancock
Director of Urban Forestry Consulting

UFMP & Overall Project Manager

Policy, Planning, & Sustainability expert

Morgan Garner
GIS Project Manager

GIS & Urban Forestry Analyst

Project mgmt, coordination, maps

AGENDA

1 Project Overview

2 Tree Inventory

3 Urban Heat Assessment

4 Community Input

5 Recommendations

6 Next Steps

"Urban trees and forests are considered integral to the sustainability of cities as a whole. Yet, sustainable urban forests are not born, they are made. They do not arise at random, but result from a community-wide commitment to their creation and management."

CLARK ET AL.: A MODEL OF URBAN FOREST SUSTAINABILITY

PROJECT OVERVIEW

BENEFITS OF URBAN FORESTS

Clean the air and breathe easier

Reduce stress and improve the quality of life

Mitigate urban heat impacts

Save energy and lower energy costs for buildings

Bolster property values

Positively influence climate to ensure sustainability

Protect wildlife and restore ecosystems

Boost local and regional economies

PROJECT OVERVIEW

RISKS FACING URBAN FORESTS

Pests and diseases

Drought

Urban sprawl

Sea level rise

Wildfires

Invasive species

Storms and disasters

Program resources

PROJECT OVERVIEW

PURPOSE & OBJECTIVES

- Integration with City plans (i.e., General Plan & CAP)

- Redress inequities with services & canopy benefits

- Climate resiliency through canopy growth, preservation, and sustainable practices

- Standards & Best Practices for maintenance, planting, preservation, data management

PROJECT OVERVIEW

PROJECT TIMELINE

PROJECT KICK-OFF	RESEARCH & ANALYSIS	COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT	FINAL PLAN
June 2022	June – December 2023	August 2022 – July 2023	June – November 2023	November 2023 – **November 2024**

TREE INVENTORY

TreePlotter INVENTORY application: https://www.pg-cloud.com/SanLeandroCA/

- 22,265 total points
- 17,859 trees
- 4,032 planting sites
- 16,140 street trees
- 1,719 park trees
- July - Dec 2022

TREE INVENTORY

URBAN TREE DIVERSITY

52 unique genera
373 unique species

Common crapemyrtle, 10%
London planetree, 10%
Water gum; kanooka, 5%
Southern magnolia, 4%
Callery pear, 3%
Coast redwood, 3%
Purple-leaf plum, 3%
Mayten, 2%
Trident maple, 2%
Muskogee crape myrtle, 2%
Other, 56%

TREE INVENTORY

CONDITION, OBSERVATIONS, AND MAINTENANCE NEEDS

STREET TREE OBSERVATIONS

Observation	Trees #	Trees %	Description
Poor Structure	3705	19%	Tree exhibits poor structure for the species.
Crown Dieback	3663	18%	Condition in which the branches in the tree crown die from the tips toward the center.
Hardscape Damage	2896	15%	Tree has caused damage to nearby hardscape that needs to be repaired.
Improperly Pruned	2725	14%	Tree has been improperly pruned.
Poor Location	1889	9%	Location is not suitable for the tree.
All Other	5,069	25%	
Total	19,947	100%	

CONDITION RATINGS OF SAN LEANDRO'S TREES

Excellent	1.0%	Trees show no structural or biotic defects. They represent a tree that is 100% healthy.
Very Good	5.7%	Trees show miniscule defects.
Good	47.6%	Trees show minor structural or biotic defects and represent a tree that is below 100% but above 80% healthy
Fair	37.2%	Trees show structural or biotic defects and represent a tree that is below 80% but above 50% healthy
Poor	5.8%	Trees show significant structural or biotic defects and represent a tree that is below 50% healthy often with serious decline
Critical	1.2%	Trees are in serious decline with little chance of survival
Dead	1.5%	Trees are dead and have no live growth on them

TREE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

5-YEAR TREE MAINTENANCE CYCLE

TREE PLANTING

RECOMMENDED TREE SPECIES: KEY CONSIDERATIONS

- Drought Tolerance
- Height / Utility Conflicts
- Root Growth / Pavement Conflicts
- Species Diversity
- Native Species
- Climate Adaptability

Sample from list:

#	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	PLANT TYPE	HEIGHT	SPREAD	PLANTING WIDTH (MIN)	NATIVE SPECIES	UNDER POWER LINES	STREET	MEDIAN	PARK
1	Acer buergerianum	Trident Maple	tree	20-25'	15-25'	4'		Y	Y	Y	
2	Aesculus californica	California Buckeye	tree	20-30'	20-50'	8'	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3	Angophora costata	Sydney red gum	tree	50-70'	40-60'	6'					Y
4	Arbutus 'Marina'	Strawberry tree	tree	15-40'	20-30'	2'					Y
5	Arbutus Menziesii	Pacific madrone	tree	50-100'	20-50'	7'	Y				Y
6	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana	king palm	palm	35-60'	10-20'	3'			Y	Y	
7	Arctostaphylos glauca 'Big Berry'	Big Berry Manzanita	shrub	3.3-20'	6-20'	3'	Y	Y		Y	Y
8	Arctostaphylos x 'Austin Griffiths'	Austin Griffiths Manzanita	shrub	8-15'	6-10'	3'	Y	Y		Y	Y
9	Arctostaphylos x 'Dr Hurd'	Dr Hurd Manzanita	shrub	10-15'	8-10'	3'	Y	Y		Y	Y
10	Brahea edulis	Guadalupe Palm	palm	20-35'	10-15'	4'			Y	Y	Y
11	Cassia leptophylla	Gold Medallion Tree	tree	15-25'	20-35'	3'		Y	Y	Y	
12	Ceanothus 'Ray Hartman'	Ray Hartman Ceanothus	shrub	15-30'	5-10'	3'	Y	Y			Y

URBAN HEAT ASSESSMENT

URBAN HEAT SEVERITY

Mild
Mild to Moderate
Moderate
Moderate to High
Severe

URBAN TREE CANOPY

0 - 5
5 - 10
10 - 15
15 - 20

IMPERVIOUS SURFACES

0 - 15
15 - 30
30 - 45
45 - 60
60 - 75
> 75

URBAN HEAT ASSESSMENT

PLANTING PRIORITIZATION

Legend:
- Low
- Low-Medium
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High

San Leandro's Tree Equity Score

Tree Equity Scores
- U.S. Census Block Groups
- <75
- 75 - 80
- 80 - 85
- 85 - 90
- 90 - 95
- 95 - 100

San Leandro Tree Equity Score

82

out of 100

COMMUNITY INPUT

- Web & Social Media

- 2 Community Surveys

- Public Meetings & Events

- Tree Plantings (2022 – 2024)

- Focus Groups & Workshops
  - External stakeholders
  - City Staff

COMMUNITY INPUT

Community Survey Results

- 2 Community Surveys

- 368 responses

- Responses were used to create TMP goals and strategies

- Most of the survey respondents (40%) felt that the quality of the City's trees has declined over the last 10 years. This presents an opportunity to invest more into public tree maintenance, public education, and community outreach events to support urban forestry efforts.

Question 2: "Please rate on a scale of 1 (Not concerned) to 5 (Very concerned), your personal concern about each of the factors listed below."

Factor	Rating
Property damage from tree roots or limb/tree failure	3.51
Fire / storm hazards	3.51
Pollen Allergies	2.77
Water consumption, especially during drought	3.78
Pests and diseases	3.36
Cost to plant, maintain, or remove trees	2.99
Time and energy for tree maintenance	2.85
Liability / property insurance	3.05
Neighbor conflicts	2.51

COMMUNITY INPUT

CALFIRE GRANT AND TREE PLANTING EVENTS

COMMUNITY INPUT

FOCUS GROUPS

RECOMMENDATIONS

GOAL THEME	COMMUNITY FOREST ETHOS	TREE MASTER PLAN GOAL
PEOPLE	Support human capacity and care (investments in people and organizations)	Foster a culture of inclusive tree stewardship through robust education, partnerships, and capacity-building opportunities that empower all community members to build tree equity.
PERFORMANCE	Re-envision the functions of the urban forest (productive systems and biocultural approaches)	Measure and track the performance of San Leandro's urban forest in an effort increase the quality and quantity of trees, the benefits provided by trees, and the resources dedicated to tree management.
PLANNING	Community organizing beyond the green silo (intersectional and cross-sectoral approaches)	Develop and implement plans, policies, and procedures that reflect the community's priorities, are driven by data, and proactively tackle issues facing trees in San Leandro.

RECOMMENDATIONS

PEOPLE

#1 Align tree-related resources and planning efforts across City departments and partners to meet common goals and improve efficiency.

Purpose	Action Item 1.1	Action Item 1.2	Action Item 1.3
Partnerships and coordination enable efficient achievement of shared goals.	All entities, resources, and planning efforts identified (Year 2)	Regular meetings between departments and partners improves outcomes and efficiencies (Year 5)	Goals of participating partners are achieved (Year 20)

Priority:		Department:	PW, CD, ET	Criteria Addressed:	1.1
Effort:		Target Year:	2025, Annually	Co-Benefits:	C, E, H, N

#2 Utilize a continuous improvement framework (Commitment, Strategy, Process, Performance) to improve operational workflows and coordination among departments impacting or influencing the urban forest.

Purpose	Action Item 2.1	Action Item 2.2	Action Item 2.3
As cities grow and change, workflows will adapt.	TMP actions to improve efficiencies begin to be implemented (Year 1)	Regular meetings between departments and partners identify changes in workflows and resource needs (Year 2)	The framework shows improvements in workflows, efficiency, efficacy, and communications (Year 5)

Priority:		Department:	PW	Criteria Addressed:	1.1
Effort:		Target Year:	Annually	Co-Benefits:	C, N

#3 Staff participating in tree maintenance and management should actively engage in City planning efforts.

Purpose	Action Item 3.1	Action Item 3.2	Action Item 3.3
Partnerships and coordination enable efficient achievement of shared goals.	Tree management staff represented at relevant planning meetings (Year 2)	Tree management staff represented at relevant planning meetings (Year 5)	Urban forestry is integrated into all relevant City and partner planning efforts (Year 10)

Priority:		Department:	PW, CD, ET	Criteria Addressed:	1.1
Effort:		Target Year:	2025, Annually	Co-Benefits:	C, E, H, N

GOAL: Foster a culture of inclusive tree stewardship through robust education, partnerships, and capacity-building opportunities that empower all community members to build tree equity.
- 17 ACTIONS
- 51 TARGETS

PERFORMANCE

#1 Strengthen protocols and threshold criteria for routine and impromptu public tree risk assessments. Consider ANSI A300 Tree Risk Standards, SOPs, communication protocols, and decision checklist for transparency and consistency.

Purpose	Action Item 1.1	Action Item 1.2	Action Item 1.3
Consistent assessments using industry best practices reduces risk and improves public perception.	Existing protocols and industry recommendations are compiled (Year 1)	Protocols and risk assessment criteria updated, documented, and distributed (Year 2)	Inventories show a reduction in tree risk, less service requests, and improved public perception (Year 20)

Priority:		Department:	PW	Criteria Addressed:	2.5
Effort:		Target Year:	2025, Annually	Co-Benefits:	C, E, H, N

#2 Update the suitable tree list based on the tree inventory, climate change projections, site suitability (Right Tree Right Place), drought tolerance, ecosystem services, tree canopy goals, among other factors.

Purpose	Action Item 2.1	Action Item 2.2	Action Item 2.3
Adhering to tree species recommendations across public and private property results in a more resilient urban forest.	An analysis of the tree inventory and TMP informs changes to the tree species planting palette (Year 6)	Updated draft of the tree species list is completed (Year 8)	The updated tree species list is integrated into City projects, partner projects, policies, and manuals (Year 10)

Priority:		Department:	PW	Criteria Addressed:	2.7
Effort:		Target Year:	2030	Co-Benefits:	H, N

#3 Review, update, and document the Recommended Tree Species List to reflect native and non-native species that are appropriate for planting in the public right-of-way, parks, and private property. Encourage and consider a requirement for species diversity. Prioritize soil health and volume in tree plantings areas. Include understory plants and soils in the planning process for trees.

Purpose	Action Item 3.1	Action Item 3.2	Action Item 3.3
A diverse urban forest is resilient to tree pests and diseases and climate change, but must be planted according to tree and site requirements, timing, and desired function.	Existing tree species lists are reviewed (Year 3)	Inventory data informs tree species list (Year 5)	An updated recommended tree species list is created (Year 6)

Priority:		Department:	PW	Criteria Addressed:	2.7
Effort:		Target Year:	2025	Co-Benefits:	C, H, N

GOAL: Measure and track the performance of San Leandro's urban forest in an effort increase the quality and quantity of trees, the benefits provided by trees, and the resources dedicated to tree management.
- 7 ACTIONS
- 21 TARGETS

PLANNING

#1 Track all City-led tree plantings and tree plantings conducted by partners. Utilize tree inventory software and/or city asset management program.

Purpose	Action Item 1.1	Action Item 1.2	Action Item 1.3
Accurate tracking enables assessment of efficacy of actions and progress towards canopy goals.	A system is established to methodically and routinely gather tree planting and removal data (Year 1)	Tree planting and removal data from all partners is integrated into the City's asset system (Year 2)	All tree planting and removal data from the City and partners is accurately maintained (Year 5)

Priority:		Department:	PW, ET, CD	Criteria Addressed:	N, C, H, E
Effort:		Target Year:	2025, Annually	Co-Benefits:	3.1

#2 Update the Tree Canopy Assessment (TCA) every 5-10 years using industry recommended protocols.

Purpose	Action Item 2.1	Action Item 2.2	Action Item 2.3
An updated assessment of canopy gains and losses informs policy and management and offers a baseline to establish goals.	A budget is prepared and approved for the TCA (Year 2)	An RFP is prepared and consultant selected to complete a TCA (Year 4)	An updated TCA is completed (Year 5)

Priority:		Department:	PW	Criteria Addressed:	3.2
Effort:		Target Year:	2030	Co-Benefits:	N, H

#3 Prioritize canopy cover growth for San Leandro's streets, parks, school campuses, and areas that currently have very little canopy coverage.

Purpose	Action Item 3.1	Action Item 3.2	Action Item 3.3
Areas with low tree canopy cover suffer from the urban heat island effect, which has a variety of negative impacts on the community that could be avoided with more equitable distribution of tree canopy throughout the city.	Tree plantings are directed to priority areas as identified in the TMP that used 2018 canopy data (Year 1)	A canopy assessment is completed to compare with the 2018 data, identify areas of growth and loss, and reprioritize plantings strategies if necessary (Year 3)	Planting strategies are assessed periodically as new data becomes available so canopy is equitable distributed (Year 4)

| Priority:		Department:	PW, ET	Criteria Addressed:	3.2
Effort:		Target Year:	2025, Annually	Co-Benefits:	H, N

GOAL: Develop and implement plans, policies, and procedures that reflect the community's priorities, are driven by data, and proactively tackle issues facing trees in San Leandro.
- 15 ACTIONS
- 45 TARGETS

NEXT STEPS

Questions & Discussion

Council Meeting

THANK YOU!

Jennifer Auletta
Parks & Landscape Manager
City of San Leandro
JAuletta@sanleandro.org

Alex Hancock
Director of Urban Forestry Consulting Services
PlanIT Geo
AlexHancock@planitgeo.com

PlanIT Geo™
developers of TreePlotter