

CITY OF SAN LEANDRO DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Planning Division

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST FORM

1.	Project Title:	Changes to the NA-2, SA-Zoning Districts related to	Zoning Amendments Pertaining to Miscellaneous Changes to the NA-2, SA-2, DA-3, DA-5, IL, IG, and IP Zoning Districts related to Assembly Uses, Commercial Recreation, Cultural Institutions and Entertainment Activities.				
2.	Lead Agency Name and Address:	City of San Leandro 835 E. 14 th Street San Leandro, CA 94577					
3.	3. Contact Person and Phone Number: Kathleen Livermore (510) 577-3350						
4. Project Location: Citywide							
5.	Project Sponsor's Name and Addre	ess: City of San Leandro 835 E. 14 th Street San Leandro, CA 94577					
6.	General Plan Designation:	Varies-Citywide					
7.	7. Zoning: Varies-Citywide						
8.	, ,						
9.	Surrounding Land Uses and Settin	g: Citywide					
10.	Other public agencies whose appro	oval is required: None					
ENVIR	ONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALI	Y AFFECTED:					
	vironmental factors checked below wo that is a "Potentially Significant Impact						
□ Biological Resources □ Cultural □ Hazards & Hazardous Materials □ Hydrolog □ Mineral Resources □ Noise □ Public Services □ Recreat			 ☐ Air Quality ☐ Geology/Soils ☐ Land Use/Planning ☐ Population/Housing ☐ Transportation/Traffic 				

On the basis of this initial evaluation:						
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.				and a	
	I find that although the proposed project COULD have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by a agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.					
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.					
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.				luately essed . An	
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.					
Sign	ature: D	ate: Octobei	28, 2011			
Print	red name: Kathleen Livermore	itle: <u>Senior F</u>	Planner			
The pany in new seconfli	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: The proposed ordinance will not directly result in any new construction or physical improvements, nor will any impacts result from the implementation of these changes when development projects incorporate the new standards. The code amendments are being made to comply with state mandates, to resolve potential conflicts of the zoning code with the General Plan, and to otherwise bring the zoning code up to date with existing economic conditions.					
		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact	
I. AES	STHETICS. Would the project:					
а.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			П	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	

DETERMINATION:

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements so no scenic resources will be affected. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				V
C.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements. These are text changes only. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				\square
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that will adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				V
II.	AGRICULTURE RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:				
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? Comment: There is no designated farmland in San Leandro.				\square
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? Comment: There is no land within San Leandro that is subject to a Williamson Act contract. Furthermore, the proposed ordinance will not conflict with the Open Space/Agricultural Land Density regulations because the proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements				V
C.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use? Comment: There is no designated farmland in San Leandro.				V
III.	AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any air quality impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				V

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any air quality impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				V
C.	for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				Ø
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any air quality impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any air quality impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				\square
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any air quality impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				\square
I۷	7. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a.	modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction				V
b.	natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction				V
C.	or physical improvements that could result in any biological impacts. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				\square
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any biological impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				Ø
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any biological impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinances? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction				\square
	or physical improvements that could result in any biological impacts.				
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any biological impacts. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				
 V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Sec. 15064.5?				$\overline{\checkmark}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to cultural resources.				
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Sec. 15064.5?				
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to cultural resources.				
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to cultural resources.				
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to cultural resources.				
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault. Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements.				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements				Ø
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? <u>Comment:</u> The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements.				V
	iv) Landslides? <u>Comment:</u> The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements.				V
b.	Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to geology and soils.				V
C.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, (excavation, grading, clearing, grubbing or fill) and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to geology and soils.				
d.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? (21,27) Comment : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to geology and soils.				V
e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater? (n/a) Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impact to geology and soils.				Ø
VII	. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				Ø
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				Ø
C.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				V
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				\square

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\square
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				
h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hazardous impacts.				
VII	II. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				V
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				Ø
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts. The landscape ordinances will reduce overall water consumption in the city.				V
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				\square
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? (<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				V
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any hydrological or water quality impacts.				V
IX.	LAND USE PLANNING. Would the project:				
a.	Physically divide an established community? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could physically divide an established community.				Ø
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinances) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements and will not conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation that was adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				V
C.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements and will not conflict with any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan.				Ø
Х.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project.				
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to mineral resources.				V

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to mineral resources.				
XI.	NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinances, or applicable standards of other agencies				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any noise impacts.				
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction				\square
	or physical improvements that could result in any noise impacts.				
C.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any noise impacts.				
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any noise impacts.				
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that would be subject to airport noise.				
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? (n/a)				\square
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that would be subject to airport noise.				
XII	. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:				
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? (1,3)				\square
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in a substantial growth in population.				
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to population and housing.				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
C.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to population and housing.				
XII	II. PUBLIC SERVICES.				
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
	Fire protection?				
	Police protection?				$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Schools?				$\overline{\square}$
	Parks?				
	Other public facilities? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to public services.				V
ΧI	V. RECREATION.				
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? (5, 34),				I
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to recreational facilities.				
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? (1)				7
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to recreational facilities.				
X۷	V.TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:				
a.	Cause an increase in traffic that is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development.				
b.	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established in the Growth Limitation Plan, the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : Any projects built pursuant to these code changes would be subject to their own, new independent environmental review. These changes do not encourage development				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
C.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? (n/a)				
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any air traffic impacts.				
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? (3,25)				\square
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any traffic hazard impacts.				
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access? Comment: The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction				$\overline{\checkmark}$
	or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to emergency access.				
f.	Result in inadequate parking capacity? <u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to parking capacity.				V
g.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements and will not conflict with any policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.				
χV	I. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				$\overline{\checkmark}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				
C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				$\overline{\checkmark}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				$\overline{\checkmark}$
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to utilities or service systems.				
X۷	/II. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				\square
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any impacts to flora or fauna, or examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.				
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements.				
C.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				V
	<u>Comment</u> : The proposed ordinance will not result in any new construction or physical improvements that could result in any adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.				

INITIAL STUDY SOURCE LIST

Sources

- City of San Leandro General Plan, Adopted May 2002
- 2. San Leandro General Plan Update Draft
 Environmental Impact Report, Prepared by Barry
 Miller, AICP, November 2001.
- 3. State of California Seismic Hazard Zones, San Leandro Quadrangle, February 14, 2003.
- 4. California Geological Survey, Special Publication 117: Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California, Adopted March 13, 1997 by the State Mining and Geology Board in Accordance with the Seismic Hazards Mapping Act of 1990.
- 5. Stinson, M.C., M.W. Manson, and J.J. Plappert, Mineral Land Classification: Aggregate Materials in the San Francisco Monterey Bay Area, Part II: Classification of Aggregate Resource Areas, South San Francisco Bay Production Consumption Region, California Division of Mines and Geology, Special Report 146, Part II, 1983, 75 maps at scales 1:485,000, 1:250,000, 1:48,000, see Plate 2.40.
- 6. California Code of Regulations, Section 15000 et seq. State CEQA Guidelines.
- 7. CEQA and Greenhouse Gas Analysis: What's Next? By Gary Jakobs and Curtis Alling, June 16, 2009.
- 8. Technical Advisory on CEQA and Climate Change: Addressing Climate Change Through California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Review, J