

**Emails to City Council - Zoning Code Update 2016
through June 24, 2016**

NAME	HOME ADDRESS	EMAIL	CONCERNS	Estudillo Ave	1300-1380 Bancroft	Support
Judy Verhoek	716 Cary Drive	judyverhoek@aol.com	Opposes "Estucroft". Doesn't want more housing jammed into SL without building more schools first. Crowded schools, traffic, lack of parking.		X	
Sonia C.	Joaquin Ave.	csonia3900@yahoo.com	No apartments! Schools are already overcrowded. Traffic. Turning into Oakland.	X	X	
Dale and Patricia Jeong	Estudillo Estates	seehors@gmail.com	No 51-unit apartment complex. Will cause increase in crime, traffic and over-crowding in schools.		X	
Vicky Radigue	Estudillo Estates	barbifever@att.net	No apartment complex. Traffic is already a huge problem. No parking at BART because of apartments. Apartments should be near Kaiser or the Marina area		X	
Debra Blondheim	Estudillo Estates	debra@blondheim.net	Wants to halt "business creep" of homes turning into businesses. Parking and traffic already a problem with schools in area. No urban sprawl.	X	X	
Jill Singleton	Estudillo Estates	jill@jspa.info	Not concerned with increasing density, but instead, road configuration at Estudillo/Bancroft needs to be changed, especially if you increase density.		X	
Carol & Paul Jewell	645 Joaquin	whoareu17@aol.com	Apartment building will impact their home and parking in the area. Traffic before and after school is already a huge problem. Huge building will affect natural air flow and sunlight. Don't ruin our quaint/charming neighborhood.		X	
Robert Caruso & Faye Clements	1405 Grand	rcaruso@johnbenco.com fayeclements@yahoo.com	50 ft. building shouldn't be in area with one to two-story homes. Traffic, parking. This area is residential & shouldn't be considered "downtown".		X	
Len & Lynn Vahey	1645 Daniels Drive	dinomore07@aol.com	Building heights & density are problematic. Schools already overcrowded. Traffic & parking will be even worse. Renters not vested in community.	X	X	
Jane Abelee	Pala	jaabelee@gmail.com	A five-story, 50-unit building should be by BART. \$4,000/month is unrealistic. If they don't rent, then what? Need restaurants.		X	
Julie Nicholas	Estudillo Ave.	julie_nicholas@yahoo.com	No apartments. Will increase traffic and decrease parking on streets and make it dangerous for students who walk. Keep apartments by Bayfair.	X	X	
Galen Guilbert	715 Dutton Ave.	galeng@gmail.com	Traffic at the Bancroft & Estudillo intersection is already congested and class sizes are too large. The city already feels effects of Marea Alta.		X	
Ken Paris	1571 Graff Ave.	ken.paris@gmail.com	San Leandro has always been primarily residential. Marea Alta and CVS are enough changes. Noise, traffic.	X	X	
Jennifer Moran	Collier Drive	luvs2labs@yahoo.com	No apartments. Enough traffic and congestion. No renters. Renters bring crime and litter. Do not overcrowd schools.	X	X	
Leah Hall	715 Dutton Ave.	llahhael@yahoo.com	Need to invest and find ways to be less autocratic. Will save money and environment in the long run.		X	
Pat Devitt	San Jose St.	devittpm@yahoo.com	No apartments. Traffic and congestion. Developer is already trying to break leases in this building.		X	
Kendra Ferguson Barr	996 Bridge Rd.	ferguson.kendra@gmail.com	No 50 ft. tall apartment building. Huge impact on school traffic and overcrowded school. Parking will be too difficult.		X	
Moir Fry	781 Bridge Rd.	moirafry@gmail.com	Not in favor of building a 50' high density "up to the sidewalk" apt. building on Bancroft and Estudillo. Gave a recap of the June 14th meeting. Encouraged people to research and ask questions, as well as meet with Tom Silva at his property.		X	
Carol Thornberry	The Thornberry Team Real Estate	carolthornberry@sbcglobal.net	Claims that developer bought building knowing it was zoned P, but wants it rezoned to DA2. This is a buffer zone and shouldn't be zoned DA2. Feels like a "bum's rush."	X	X	
Daly, Rodrigues, Caruso, Cassidy	Neighborhood Flyer	shcassidy@yahoo.com pgdaly@sbcglobal.net	The zoning changes will permanently alter the existing streetscape, increase traffic and over burden the already limited parking. Attend meeting on June 16th.		X	

**Emails to City Council - Zoning Code Update 2016
through June 24, 2016**

Alex Smallman	Joaquin Ave.	alex.smallman@graybar.com	This will cause a bottleneck of traffic. Build it at CVS or Kraft site.	X	X	
Lisa Kenny	451 Estudillo	lisadiannekenny@gmail.com	Super excited about upcoming changes & fully supports them as they will open up possibilities & opportunities to residents, visitors & business owners. Fiber optic.			X
Corey & Ute Anderson	1170 Oakes Blvd.	andeco1@comcast.net	Housing should be close to BART, not the neighborhoods of east Bancroft. Make sure there's enough parking & more transit hubs because BART is overcrowded.	X	X	
David Jorgensen	974 Arbor Drive	d.s.jorg@earthlink.net	Bad zoning changes. Please stay with TOD. We need a buffer between the high density downtown & residential.	X		
John Sullivan	Emerald Properties (apartment owner)	laura@emeraldliving.net	We need more housing & should encourage higher density zoning. I own apartment complexes in the Bancroft/Estudillo area similar to the proposed complex and have never received a traffic or parking complaint for over 20 years.			X
Jon Foster	Oakes Blvd.	jon.foster01@comcast.net	Bancroft/Estudillo is not suitable for highrise apartment buildings, but are suitable for downtown or BART area. Tom Silva has lobbied for the zoning change so he can become richer.	X	X	
Barbara Vester	520 Pala	barbsfelines@aol.com	The intersection of B/E is ALWAYS a problem. Use open spaces near BART for higher density. Enough traffic from schools and Veterans Hall already.	X	X	
Jennie Gisslow	1181 Begier Ave.	jennie@designsrc.com	Adamantly oppose because of high density traffic, noise & aesthetics.	X		
Debbie Martin	1151 San Jose St.	debbiemartin99me.com	Plan for corner of B/E is "ridiculous". Change July 5th meeting date/		X	
Tom Santilena		tomsantilena@yahoo.com	Terrible plan & eyesore. Huge traffic problems & safety problems for students.		X	
Richard Aguirre	Estudillo Estates	richard_aguirre@sbcglobal.net	Should not be planned across from school. Horrible traffic already. Build closer to BART where there are taller building and better access to BART.		X	
Catharina Howard	Begier Avenue	kevcattha@earthlink.net	Apartment complex will affect quality of life for North Area residents & cause gridlock. We are not wealthy or NIMBYs.		X	
Diane Rinella	857 Estudillo Ave.	kamikazeathena@mac.com	City needs to address traffic problem in this area, not amplify it. More traffic lights & police. Focus on a better, safer community, not rezoning.	X		
Patricia Martin		dogzoe@hotmail.com	Lack of consideration for existing residents regarding traffic, schools & noise. You are changing the characteristics of our city.	X		
Terry & Lynn Kirby		terry.k317@gmail.com	High density is too far from mass transit & too close to single-family neighborhoods. Reduce number, scale & height of projects.	X		
Javier Ramirez	794 Dowling Blvd.	i_ramirez@comcast.net	I oppose changes.	X		
Richard & Kristine Dahllof	673 Joaquin Ave.	thedahllofs@yahoo.com	Oppose because of traffic congestion, parking, school pick-up/drop-off, etc.	X		
Nancy Alpay & Paul Bracke	Bay-O-Vista	nancy.alpay@gmail.com	Strongly oppose. High density housing should be walking distance to BART. We agree with former Mayor Cassidy.	X		
Leila Towne & Family	Begier Avenue	coach@bullingtowne.com	Concerns: traffic, parking, egress for new complex, already lacking in services.		X	
Leona Wong	661 Joaquin Ave.	leona50wong@gmail.com	Heavy traffic already. 51 unit structure will cause additional. Not safe for students.		X	
Cynthia Hicks	977 Oakes Blvd.	cghicks977@att.net	Oppose 47' tall, 51 unit complex. Safety concerns for schools & traffic.	X	X	
Fred Reicker	2017 Marineview Dr.	taurusx2@comcast.net	5-story unit is out of character. Upset because developer was assured he could rezone property before it was brought to Planning Commission. Rezoning Estudillo properties between Santa Maria & Bancroft is necessary, but difficult. That stretch is a zoning mess. Supply shuttles to BART. Assess potential of areas west of BART & 880.		X	
Gary & Kristine Peterson	Collier Drive	petemar1@sbcglobal.net	No apartments. They lead to noise & traffic & parking issues. If tenants use BART, they will still drive to get there. Instead, please improve & repurpose B/E property.	X	X	
No name		ccam553@aol.com	Bad to have apartments near school. Tall buildings belong near BART & Bayfair	X	X	

**Emails to City Council - Zoning Code Update 2016
through June 24, 2016**

Art & Julie Brandt	Collier Drive	julieagbrandt@gmail.com	Strongly oppose zoning changes. Infrastructure cannot support these changes.	X	X	
Lewis & Lorelei Pollack	680 Lee Ave.	goodlife4lewhori@aol.com	Area is already congested.	X	X	
Bill Chow	551 Glen Drive	billchow49@gmail.com	The proposed B/E property's height is not appropriate. No apartments.		X	
Dolores Stephan	801 Estudillo	richanddede@earthlink.net	Do not need a large building on that corner. Traffic is already more than the streets can handle & if it increases, it will be a hazard to our children. One or 2-story condos are more appropriate.		X	
Melisa Di Tano	890 Collier	mditano@sbcglobal.net	Does not believe our infrastructure can handle a 51-unit complex. Any future buildings there should follow current zoning code.		X	
Christine Gordon	902 Bridge Rd.	goddess0949@comcast.net	Oppose zoning changes because of traffic, parking & negative impact it would have on walkability of area. No 50' buildings. Highrises should only be built in residential areas if they're blighted. People are leaving SF because they can't afford "market rate" apartments & those who stay cram 4-6 people in 2-bedroom apartments. The same thing will happen here with those rates and then they'll have 4-6 cars per unit, instead of 1.5 as proposed.	X	X	
Donna Chang	District 5	donna726@gmail.com	Believes that a CN zoning will be more appropriate for the B/E neighborhood, height-wise, and parking-wise. This will be the best compromise in creating higher density/creating value to the neighborhood (more shops residents can shop in, creating more street life/ still preserving the character of the neighborhood). With a CN zoning, that block has the potential to become a successful community commercial neighborhood area. I would love to bring my acupuncture business to SL, but there is almost no ground floor retail space for lease near downtown SL at all! Create more ground-level retail space that is suitable for smaller local neighborhood businesses with apartments on top.	X	X	
Brenda Ferrell	844 Woodland Avenue	brendalferrell@aol.com	Opposed to the re-zoning of commercial properties currently zoned for professional offices along Estudillo from the fire station to Bancroft. The North area residential neighborhood is not an extension of downtown. Parking and traffic at B/E is already congested due to the middle school.	X		
Stephen Cassidy*	Joaquin Ave.	stephenhcassidy@gmail.com	High density needs to be kept in true "downtown" area, which Estudillo and Bancroft are not. New housing should be kept in the TOD area of BART. 2002 GP & 2007 TOD = community driven consensus. Growth is downtown. Staff driven agendas shatter consensus. Don't short circuit process. *Also spoke at Planning Commission meeting.	X	X	
Susan "Rose" Riskind*	Sunnyside	via.thebna@listbox.com	Dutton/Bancroft is not "downtown". Parking and traffic impacts. This zoning is not meant for areas with small residential homes. Thinks it's "ironic" that staff recommends these transitions and then proposes the B/E project that is higher than Wells Fargo. Such a large building will create a "walled effect" and divide neighborhoods. Don't authorize DA-2 & honor transition zones. *Also spoke at Planning Commission meeting.	X	X	