## Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

This Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the City of San Leandro General Plan Update and Zoning Code amendments is intended to ensure the implementation of mitigation measures identified as part of the environmental review for the proposed project. The MMRP includes the following information:

- A list of mitigation measures
- The timing for implementation of each mitigation measure
- The agency responsible for monitoring implementation
- The monitoring action and frequency

The City of San Leandro must adopt this MMRP, or an equally effective program, if it adopts the San Leandro General Plan Update and Zoning Code amendments with the mitigation measures that were adopted or made conditions of project adoption.

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
AQ-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro a technical assessment evaluating potential air quality impacts related to the	City of San Leandro Community Development Department	Review of technical assessments
the potential to exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, as identified in BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines, the City of San Leandro Community Development Department shall require that applicants for new development projects incorporate mitigation measures to reduce air pollutant emissions during operation activities.	Department and/or Building Official (or designee)	Implementation shall remain in place throughout project construction and verification shall occur during normal construction site inspections
AQ-2B-1: As part of the City's development approval process, the City shall require applicants for future development projects to comply with	San Leandro Building Official (or designee)	Review of all demolition, grading, and building permits
the current Bay Area Air Quality Management District's basic control measures for reducing construction emissions of PM <sub>10</sub> (Table 8-1, Basic Construction Mitigation Measures Recommended for All Proposed Projects, of the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines).		Implementation shall remain in place throughout project construction and verification shall occur during normal construction site inspections
AQ-2B-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro a technical assessment evaluating potential project construction-related air quality impacts. The evaluation shall be prepared in	City of San Leandro Community Development Department	Review of technical assessments
conformance with the BAAQMD methodology in assessing air quality impacts. If construction-related criteria air pollutants are determined to have the potential to exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, as identified in the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, the City of San Leandro shall require that applicants for new development projects incorporate mitigation measures to reduce air pollutant emissions during construction activities to below these thresholds (Table 8-2, Additional Construction Mitigation Measures Recommended for Projects with Construction	City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department, Building and Safety Services Division, Planning Division, and/or Community	Implementation shall remain in place throughout project construction and verification shall occur during normal construction site inspections
	AQ-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro a technical assessment evaluating potential air quality impacts related to the project's operation phase. The evaluation shall be prepared in conformance with the BAAQMD methodology in assessing air quality impacts. If operation-related criteria air pollutants are determined to have the potential to exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, as identified in BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines, the City of San Leandro Community Development Department shall require that applicants for new development projects incorporate mitigation measures to reduce air pollutant emissions during operation activities.  AQ-2B-1: As part of the City's development approval process, the City shall require applicants for future development projects to comply with the current Bay Area Air Quality Management District's basic control measures for reducing construction emissions of PM <sub>10</sub> (Table 8-1, Basic Construction Mitigation Measures Recommended for All Proposed Projects, of the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines).  AQ-2B-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro a technical assessment evaluating potential project construction-related air quality impacts. The evaluation shall be prepared in conformance with the BAAQMD methodology in assessing air quality impacts. If construction-related criteria air pollutants are determined to have the potential to exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, as identified in the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, the City of San Leandro shall require that applicants for new development projects incorporate mitigation measures to reduce air pollutant emissions during construction activities to bel	AQ-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro a technical assessment evaluating potential air quality impacts related to the project's operation phase. The evaluation shall be prepared in conformance with the BAAQMD methodology in assessing air quality impacts. If operation-related criteria air pollutants are determined to have the potential to exceed the BAAQMD thresholds of significance, as identified in BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines, the City of San Leandro Community Development Department shall require that applicants for new development Department shall require that applicants for future development approval process, the City shall require applicants for future development projects to comply with the current Bay Area Air Quality Management District's basic control measures for reducing construction emissions of PM <sub>30</sub> (Table 8-1, Basic Construction Mitigation Measures Recommended for All Proposed Projects, of the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro Community  AQ-2B-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro Community  AQ-2B-2: Prior to issuance of construction permits, development project applicants that are subject to CEQA and exceed the screening sizes in the BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines shall prepare and submit to the City of San Leandro Community  Development  Department and/or Community  City of San Leandro Community  Development  Department and/or designee)  City of San Leandro Community  Development  Department and/or designee)  City of San Leandro Community  Development Department shall project construction permits, development project construction permits, development project construc

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
·	applicable construction mitigation measures subsequently approved by	Department	
	BAAQMD). These identified measures shall be incorporated into all		
	appropriate construction documents (e.g. construction management plans) submitted to the City and shall be verified by the City's		
	Engineering/Transportation Department, Building and/or Planning		
	Division, and/or Community Development Department.		
AQ-3: Warehousing operations could generate a substantial	AQ-3: Applicants for future non-residential land uses within the city that:	City of San Leandro	Review of HRAs
amount of diesel particulate matter (DPM) emissions from	1) have the potential to generate 100 or more diesel truck trips per day or	Community	
off-road equipment use and truck idling. In addition, some	have 40 or more trucks with operating diesel-powered TRUs, and 2) are	Development	
warehousing and industrial facilities may include use of	within 1,000 feet of a sensitive land use (e.g. residential, schools,	Department	
transport refrigeration units (TRUs) for cold storage that could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant	hospitals, nursing homes), as measured from the property line of a proposed project to the property line of the nearest sensitive use, shall	City of San Leandro	Implementation shall remain
concentrations. Mitigation is needed to ensure that new	submit a health risk assessment (HRA) to the City of San Leandro prior to	Community	in place throughout project
projects are evaluated in accordance with BAAQMD's CEQA	future discretionary project approval. The HRA shall be prepared in	Development	construction and verification
Guidelines, and therefore impacts are <i>significant</i> .	accordance with policies and procedures of the State Office of	Department and/or	shall occur during normal
	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the Bay Area Air Quality	Building Official (or	construction site inspections
	Management District. If the HRA shows that the incremental cancer risk	his/her designee)	
	exceeds 10 in one million (10E-06), PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations exceed 0.3		
	μg/m³, or the appropriate non-cancer hazard index exceeds 1.0, the		
	applicant will be required to identify and demonstrate that mitigation		
	measures are capable of reducing potential cancer and non-cancer risks		
	to an acceptable level, including appropriate enforcement mechanisms.  Mitigation measures may include but are not limited to:		
	Restricting idling on-site beyond Air Toxic Control Measures idling		
	restrictions, as feasible.		
	<ul><li>Electrifying warehousing docks.</li></ul>		
	<ul> <li>Requiring use of newer equipment and/or vehicles.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Restricting off-site truck travel through the creation of truck routes.</li> </ul>		
	Mitigation measures identified in the project-specific HRA shall be		
	identified as mitigation measures in the environmental document and/or		
	incorporated into the site development plan as a component of a		
	proposed project.		
AQ-5: Despite implementation of the proposed Plan	AQ-5: Implementation of Mitigation Measures AQ-1 through AQ-3.	See Mitigation M	easures AQ-1 through AQ-3.
policies, criteria air pollutant emissions associated with the proposed project would generate a substantial net increase	There are no additional mitigation measures available to mitigate this		
proposed project would generate a substantial net increase	impact.		

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
in emissions that exceeds the BAAQMD regional significance thresholds, and impacts would be significant.		1 /	J
GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS			
GHG-2: While the proposed Plan supports progress toward the long term-goals identified in Executive Order B-30-15 and Executive Order S-03-05, it cannot yet be demonstrated that San Leandro will achieve GHG emissions reductions that are consistent with an 80 percent reduction below 1990 levels by the year 2050 based on existing technologies and currently adopted policies and programs.	GHG-2: No mitigation measures are currently available to address post-2030 GHG reductions. The proposed Plan and the Climate Action Plan (CAP) include measures to align the City with the GHG reductions of AB 32 and Executive Order B-30-15. However, additional State and federal actions are necessary to ensure that State and federally regulated sources (i.e., sources outside the City's jurisdictional control) take similar aggressive measures to ensure the deep cuts needed to achieve the 2050 target.		tion measures are available.
NOISE			
NOI-3: The proposed project would cause a substantial permanent increase in ambient transportation-related noise levels in the project vicinity.	NOI-3: Beyond the General Plan Environmental Hazards Element policies discussed above, the following mitigation measures were considered, but as described below, were found to be infeasible.  Technological Advances for Noise-Generating Vehicles  Most urban noise results from the use of roadway vehicles, including automobiles, motorcycles, and trucks. The implementation of improved technologies for the prevention or muffling of noise from these sources could theoretically prevent substantial increases to ambient noise levels; however, this approach would be infeasible as much of this implementation is beyond the jurisdiction of the City.  Beyond currently-accepted State and industry standards and best practices, developing and/or requiring novel technological improvements for noise-generating vehicles would not be affordable, scientifically plausible, or within the City's jurisdiction. Therefore, this potential mitigation measure is regarded as infeasible.	Mitigation I	Measure NOI-3 is not feasible.
	Universal Use of Noise-Attenuating Features The universal use of noise attenuating features such as rubberized asphalt, soundwalls, berms, and improved building sound-insulation, could prevent transmission of excessive noise to the outdoor and indoor areas of sensitive land uses and/or could prevent projected increases in ambient noise levels. However, this approach would be infeasible in several situations. Specifically, rubberized asphalt reduces tire-pavement		

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	compared to normal pavement's properties degrade over time, ar sufficient to reduce noise impact cases, aesthetic concerns, costs, would prevent the universal impattenuating features. In addition views and are regarded as unsigh soundwalls can result in reduced which would contravene other ghave negative social, economic, although improved building conswhich is required by California Tireduce indoor exposure to excess	to their expense, soundwalls often block only. Moreover, the construction of pedestrian and vehicle connectivity, oals of the proposed General Plan and and even environmental consequences. struction and insulation beyond that the 24 and the General Plan could further sive noise, substantial outdoor increases main. Therefore, this potential mitigation		
NOI-4: Construction activities under the proposed project may lead to substantial temporary or periodic increases to ambient noise levels. This would be a potentially significant impact.	Standard Conditions of Approval for new construction in the city.  Approval/Construction Developm	hall adopt the following measures as or Construction Development Standards The Standard Conditions of nent Standards shall include an exception & Transportation Director or his/her	City of San Leandro Building and Safety Services Division and Engineering & Transportation	Conditions of Approval and Construction Development Standards for projects shall be established prior to issuance of construction permits
	from an Applicant after City revie Construction activities shall between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. on Sunday and Prior to the start of construct shall:	e restricted to the daytime hours of o.m. on weekdays, or between 8:00 a.m. Saturday. ion activities, the construction contractor	Department	Implementation shall remain in place throughout project construction and verification shall occur during normal construction site inspections
	manufacturer's recommen Inspect all proposed equip operating mufflers, air inta no less effective than as or Post a sign, clearly visible a	osed equipment in accordance with the dations to minimize noise emission.  ment and fit all equipment with properly like silencers, and engine shrouds that are riginally equipped by the manufacturer. It the site, with a contact name and City of San Leandro's authorized		

#### MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	representative to respond in the event of a noise complaint.	,	<u> </u>
	<ul> <li>Place stationary construction equipment and material delivery in loading and unloading areas as far as practicable from the residences.</li> </ul>		
	Limit unnecessary engine idling to the extent feasible.		
	<ul> <li>Use smart back-up alarms, which automatically adjust the alarm level based on the background noise level, or switch off back-up alarms and replace with human spotters.</li> </ul>		
	Use low-noise emission equipment.		
	Limit use of public address systems.		
	Minimize grade surface irregularities on construction sites.		
NOI-7: The proposed project would result in significant and unavoidable cumulatively excessive noise levels within the city.	NOI-7: Beyond the General Plan Environmental Hazards Element policies discussed above, the same mitigation measures were considered as were evaluated in NOI-3 and were, likewise, found to be infeasible.	O	NOI-7 restates Mitigation Measure 3 and is not feasible.
	In summary, for cumulative noise impacts, there are no feasible mitigations for preventing substantial increases in ambient noise levels, since all conceivable mitigations would be, in some circumstances, economically impractical, scientifically unachievable, outside the City's jurisdiction, and/or inconsistent with City planning goals and objectives. Thus, cumulative impacts would remain significant and unavoidable because no feasible mitigation measures are available to mitigate noise impacts to a less than significant level, resulting in a <i>significant and unavoidable</i> impact.		
TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC			
TRACTAL AND AND ADDRESS	TDAE 1A. Intersections The City of Cardina decade invalors and the		

TRAF-1: Implementation of the Proposed Plan, in combination with regional growth outside of San Leandro, would result in increased vehicle traffic, which would affect the operations of local intersections and freeway segments.

- As shown in Table 4.13-11, the addition of proposed Plan traffic would result in significant impacts to 12 intersections during at least one of the peak hours.
- As shown in Table 4.13-12 and Table 4.13-13, the addition of proposed Plan traffic would result in significant impacts to seven freeway segments

TRAF-1A: *Intersections*: The City of San Leandro should implement the following traffic improvements and facilities to reduce impacts to standard:

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
during at least one of the peak hours.	with proposed Plan traffic won to degrade from LOS C to LOS Cumulative with Proposed Pla Implementation of the following operations during the AM pea Add an additional northboun would result in the northbound turn lanes, an exclusive thr turn lane.	und left-turn lane on E. 14 <sup>th</sup> Street. This bund approach having two exclusive left- ough lane, and a shared through/right- cycle length and splits in conjunction with	and the implementation	is under Caltrans' jurisdiction, on and timing of the mitigation under the City's control.
	Because this intersection is wind Development PDA, implement improve intersection operational Implement proposed Policy  Optimize the traffic signal of adaptive traffic control techniques.	thin the Downtown Transit-Oriented tation of the following measures would ns during the AM peak hour to LOS E: 7T-5.2: Evaluating Development Impacts. Eycle length and splits in conjunction with hnology.		
	control. However, this interse the implementation and timin uncertain since the intersection	easible if the intersection was under City ction is under Caltrans' jurisdiction, so g of the mitigation measures remain on is not under the City's control.  with proposed Plan impact remains		
	Cumulative with proposed Pla level of service to degrade fro	Boulevard (#4): The addition of n traffic would cause the intersection m LOS C to LOS E in the AM peak hour. h Proposed Plan impact is considered to	Transportation	Impacts would reduce this
	operations during the AM pea	cycle length and splits in conjunction with		Implementation timing of Policy T-5.2 would be the adoption of the General Plan. Note: Intersection #4 is under

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	This intersection is within the East 1 intersection is currently in a PDA, the operations from LOS C to LOS E in the addition of Cumulative with Propose considered a significant impact und Evaluating Development Impacts.  Upon implementation of this measure improve to LOS D during the AM perconsidered feasible if the intersection However, this intersection is under implementation and timing of the nuncertain since the intersection is uncertain since the intersection is uncertain since the Complementation and timing of the nuncertain since the intersection is uncertain since the intersection is uncertain since the Complementation and Unavoidable.	ne degradation of intersection he AM peak hour due to the ed Plan traffic would not be er proposed Plan Policy T-5.2:  Tre, intersection operations would ak hour. This mitigation is on was under City control.  Caltrans' jurisdiction, so the nitigation measures remain nder Caltrans' jurisdiction.		Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the implementation and timing of signal changes is not under the City's control.
	<ul> <li>E. 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Hesperian Bouleva addition of Cumulative with propos intersection level of service to degrate peak hour. Therefore, the Cumulatic considered to be significant.</li> </ul>	ed Plan traffic would cause the ade from LOS C to LOS E in the AM	Caltrans, City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	Implementation of Policy T-5.2: Evaluating Development Impacts would reduce this impact to a less-thansignificant level.
	Implementation of the following me operations during the AM peak hou	r:		Implementation timing of Policy T-5.2 would be the adoption of the General Plan.
	Optimize the traffic signal cycle I     adaptive traffic control technolo	ength and splits in conjunction with gy.		Note: Intersection #5 is under
	This intersection is within the Bay F ABAG/MTC has already designated potential PDA. Upon adoption of th currently anticipated in 2017, Bay F Since this intersection is currently in degradation of intersection operation peak hour due to the addition of Cu would not be considered an impact Evaluating Development Impacts.	Bay Fair BART Transit Village a e Bay Fair TOD Specific Plan, air will achieve official PDA status. In a potential PDA area, the cons from LOS C to LOS E in the AM imulative with Proposed Plan traffic		Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the implementation and timing of signal changes is not under the City's control.
	Upon implementation of this measuimprove to LOS D during the AM pe			

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	considered feasible if the intersect However, this intersection is unde implementation and timing of the uncertain since the intersection is Consequently, the Cumulative with significant and unavoidable.	r Caltrans' jurisdiction, so the mitigation measures remain under Caltrans' jurisdiction.		•
	peak hour and LOS D to LOS E in th	sed Plan traffic would cause the grade from LOS D to LOS F in the AM	Caltrans, City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	Impacts would reduce this
	operations during the AM and PM  Widen the south leg of the inte northbound left-turn lane. This approach having two exclusive through lanes, and an exclusive	resection in order to add a second would result in the northbound left-turn lanes, two exclusive right-turn lane.		Policy T-5.2 would be the adoption of the General Plar In addition, the City could pursue the additional signal changes described. Implementation timing of signal changes would be who
		e for the northbound right turns. length and splits in conjunction with ogy.		or if LOS deteriorates below LOS E at intersection #10.
	Since this intersection is currently degradation of intersection operat peak hour due to the addition of C	B Bay Fair BART Transit Village a he Bay Fair TOD Specific Plan, Fair will achieve official PDA status. in a potential PDA area, the tions from LOS D to LOS E in the PM Cumulative with Proposed Plan traffic		
	Evaluating Development Impacts. measures, which do not involve ev			

Implement proposed Policy T-5.2: Evaluating Development Impacts.Provide an overlap signal phase for the northbound right turns.

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	 Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	<ul> <li>Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits in conjunction with adaptive traffic control technology.</li> </ul>		
	Upon implementation of the first three measures, intersection operations would improve to LOS D during the AM and PM peak hours. The availability of right-of-way for the required widening on the south leg of the intersection is uncertain; therefore, the measures may be infeasible. Consequently, the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact remains <i>significant and unavoidable</i> .		
	Washington Avenue and San Leandro Boulevard (#15): The addition of Cumulative with Proposed Plan traffic would cause the intersection level of service to degrade from LOS C to LOS F in the AM peak hour. Therefore, the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact is considered to be significant.	Caltrans, City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	deteriorates below the City's
	Implementation of the following measure would improve intersection operations during the AM peak hour to LOS D:		
	<ul> <li>Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits in conjunction with adaptive traffic control technology.</li> </ul>		
	Upon implementation of this measure, intersection operations would improve to LOS D during the AM peak hour and lessen the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact to <i>less than significant</i> .		
	San Leandro Boulevard and Marina Boulevard (#16): The addition of Cumulative with proposed Plan traffic would cause the intersection level of service to degrade from LOS D to LOS F in the AM peak hour and LOS C to LOS F in the PM peak hour. Therefore, the Cumulative with proposed Plan impact is considered to be <i>significant</i> .	Caltrans, City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	Improvements shall be implemented when LOS deteriorates below the City's adopted LOS standard.
	<ul> <li>Implementation of the following measures would improve intersection operations during the AM and PM peak hours:</li> <li>Add a northbound left-turn lane on San Leandro Boulevard to provide two exclusive left-turn lanes, one exclusive through lane and one shared through/right-turn lane. (Consistent with the findings of the San Leandro Shoreline Development Project EIR)</li> <li>Restripe lanes on the west leg to provide two corresponding receiving lanes. (Consistent with the findings of the San Leandro</li> </ul>		

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

#### MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	Shoreline Development Project EIR	3)		
	Provide an exclusive southhound ri	ight-turn lane to feed the	evicting	

- Provide an exclusive southbound right-turn lane to feed the existing channelized right-turn lane from San Leandro Boulevard southbound to Marina Boulevard westbound so that southbound through traffic does not block access to the channelized southbound right-turn lane.
- Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits in conjunction with adaptive traffic control technology.

Upon implementation of these measures, intersection operations would improve to LOS D during the AM and PM peak hours. The availability of right-of-way for the required widening on the south and north legs of the intersection is uncertain; therefore, the measure may be infeasible. Consequently, the Cumulative with proposed Plan impact remains significant and unavoidable.

San Leandro Boulevard and Davis Street (#17): The addition of Cumulative with proposed Plan traffic would cause the intersection level of service to degrade from LOS C to LOS F in the AM peak hour and LOS C to LOS E in the PM peak hour. Therefore, the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact is considered to be significant.

Implementation of the following measures would improve intersection operations during the AM and PM peak hours to LOS D:

- Add a northbound right-turn lane on San Leandro Boulevard to provide two exclusive left-turn lanes, two exclusive through lanes and one exclusive right-turn lane.
- Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits in conjunction with adaptive traffic control technology.

Because this intersection is within the Downtown Transit Oriented Development PDA, the degradation of intersection operations from LOS C to LOS E in the PM peak hour due to the addition of Cumulative with Proposed Plan traffic would not be considered an impact under Proposed Plan Policy T-5.2: Evaluating Development Impacts. Implementation of the following measures, which do not involve evaluation or acquisition of right-of-way, would improve intersection operations during the AM peak hour to LOS E:

Caltrans, City of San Transportation Works Department

Improvements shall be Leandro Engineering & implemented when LOS deteriorates below the City's Department and Public adopted LOS standard.

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	<ul> <li>Implement proposed Policy T-5.2</li> <li>Optimize the traffic signal cycle leadaptive traffic control technology</li> <li>Upon implementation of the first two operations would improve to LOS D</li> <li>The availability of right-of-way for the leg of the intersection is uncertain; to infeasible. This intersection is under implementation and timing of the model. Consequently, the impact remains significant and unaverse.</li> </ul>	ength and splits in conjunction with gy. To measures, intersection during the AM and PM peak hours. The required widening on the south therefore, the measure may be Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the spliting at the complete cumulative with Proposed Plan		
	Philips Lane and Davis Street (#28): T Proposed Plan traffic would cause the degrade from LOS C to LOS F in the I Cumulative with Proposed Plan impa	ne intersection level of service to PM peak hour. Therefore, the	and the implemen	n #28 is under Caltrans' jurisdiction, ntation and timing of the mitigation not under the City's control.
	Implementation of the following me operations during the PM peak hour  Convert the existing shared throu westbound approach to an exclusive left-turn lane, two exclusive right-turn lane.  Optimize the traffic signal cycle leadaptive traffic control technology	r:  ugh/right-turn lane on the sive through lane to provide an usive through lanes and an  ength and splits in conjunction with		
	Upon implementation of these meas would improve to LOS D during the under Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the mitigation measures are not under the Cumulative with Proposed Plan unavoidable.	PM peak hour. This intersection is implementation and timing of the che City's control. Consequently,		

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

#### MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

# Environmental Impact Mitigation Measures Monitoring Implementation Responsibility and Monitoring Timeline

Warden Avenue/Timothy Drive and Davis Street (#29): The addition of Cumulative with Proposed Plan traffic would cause the intersection level of service to degrade from LOS C to LOS E in the PM peak hour. Therefore, the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact is considered to be significant.

Implementation of the following measures would improve intersection operations during the PM peak hour:

- Restripe the three northbound lanes from Timothy Drive to provide an exclusive left-turn lane, a shared left-turn/through/right-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane.
- Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits in conjunction with adaptive traffic control technology.

Upon implementation of these measures, intersection operations would improve to LOS D during the PM peak hour. This intersection is under Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the implementation and timing of the mitigation measures are not under the City's control. Consequently, the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact remains *significant and unavoidable*.

Doolittle Drive and Davis Street (#30): The addition of Cumulative with Proposed Plan traffic would cause the intersection level of service to degrade from LOS C to LOS F in the PM peak hour. Therefore, the Cumulative with Proposed Plan impact is considered to be significant.

Implementation of the following measures would improve intersection operations during the PM peak hour:

- Restripe the four westbound lanes from Davis Street to provide one exclusive left-turn lane, one exclusive through lane and two exclusive right-turn lanes.
- Restrict westbound right turns on red to reduce conflict between right-turning vehicles in the two exclusive right-turn lanes as well as between right-turning vehicles and movements with the right-ofway.
- Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits.

Upon implementation of these measures, intersection operations

Note: Intersection #29 is under Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the implementation and timing of the mitigation measure is not under the City's control.

Note: Intersection #30 is under Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the implementation and timing of the mitigation measure is not under the City's control.

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	the required widening on the eartherefore, the measure may be Caltrans' jurisdiction, and the in mitigation measures are not un	the PM peak hour. Even if this trol, the availability of right-of-way for ast leg of the intersection is uncertain; infeasible. This intersection is under aplementation and timing of the der the City's control. Consequently, Plan impact remains significant and		
	with Proposed Plan traffic would to degrade from LOS C to LOS F	evard (#31): The addition of Cumulative d cause the intersection level of service in the AM peak hour and from LOS D to refore, the Cumulative with Proposed significant.	Caltrans, City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	Improvements shall be implemented when LOS deteriorates below the City's adopted LOS standard.
		g measures would improve intersection PM peak hours to LOS D and lessen the impact to less than significant:		
	an exclusive left-turn lane, a	oach on Marina Boulevard to provide n exclusive through lane and a shared nsistent with the findings of the San nent Project EIR).		
	the findings of the San Leand Implement a right-turn overl	cle length and splits. (Consistent with dro Shoreline Development Project EIR). ap signal phase for the northbound and ew mitigation not called for in the San tent Project EIR).		
	with Proposed Plan traffic would to degrade from LOS D to LOS F Cumulative with proposed Plan Implementation of the following		Caltrans, City of San Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	Improvements shall be implemented when LOS deteriorates below the City's adopted LOS standard.

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	signal phasing to permitted left-turn signal phasing with flashing yellow arrows.  Convert the northbound left-turn signal phasing on Alvarado Avenue from protected left-turn signal phasing to protected/permitted left-turn signal phasing with flashing yellow arrows.  Convert the southbound left-turn signal phasing on Alvarado Avenue from protected left-turn signal phasing to permitted left-turn signal phasing with flashing yellow arrows.  Optimize the traffic signal cycle length and splits.  While implementation of Mitigation Measure TRAF-1A would secure future roadway and infrastructure improvements that are necessary to mitigate impacts from future development in the city based on current standards, some impacts would remain significant and unavoidable because the City cannot guarantee improvements at all of the impacted intersections. The proposed Plan includes policies and strategies that, once adopted, would ensure adequate public transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities are available to the residents of San Leandro. These policies and actions are included in the discussion of Impact TRAF-6.  TRAF-1B: Freeway Segments: The City of San Leandro shall initiate efforts	Caltrans, City of San	Freeway segments are not
	to coordinate with Caltrans and Alameda CTC to identify potential traffic improvements to reduce impacts to acceptable levels on the regional freeways.  I-880 northbound segments between Washington Avenue and 98 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. These three mainline segments experience LOS F conditions during the AM peak hour under both existing and cumulative plus Proposed Plan conditions.  Implementation of the following measure would improve freeway segment operations during the AM peak hour to LOS D or better and lessen the proposed Plan impact to less than significant:  Add additional capacity to the freeway segment by increasing the number of travel lanes in the northbound direction.  However, the implementation and timing of the Mitigation Measure is not under the City's control and widening I-880 is not considered to be	Leandro Engineering & Transportation Department and Public Works Department	under the City's jurisdiction, and the implementation and

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	feasible due to cost and freeway right-of-way constraints. Consequently, the Cumulative plus Proposed Plan impact remasignificant and unavoidable.	ains	to provide a mechanism for the collection of the development projects' fair
	<ul> <li>I-580 Northbound segment between 150<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Benedict which is at LOS F during the AM peak hour under both existing cumulative plus Proposed Plan conditions.</li> </ul>		share contribution and set aside for freeway construction. The City will provide its support to the implementation of a Countywide Freeway Improvement Fee that would be administered by Alameda CTC.
	Implementation of the following measure would improve free segment operations during the AM peak hour to LOS D or bette lessen the proposed Plan impact to less than significant:		
	<ul> <li>Add additional capacity to the freeway segment by increasing number of travel lanes in the northbound direction.</li> </ul>	ng the	
	However, the implementation and timing of the Mitigation Me not under the City's control and widening I-880 is not consider feasible due to cost and freeway right-of-way constraints.  Consequently, the Cumulative plus Proposed Plan impact remandant significant and unavoidable.	red to be	
	I-580 Northbound segment between Foothill Boulevard and 106 Avenue, is at LOS E during the AM peak hour under existing and under cumulative plus Proposed Plan conditions.		
	Implementation of the following measure would improve free segment operations during the AM peak hour to LOS D or bette lessen the proposed Plan impact to less than significant:		
	<ul> <li>Add additional capacity to the freeway segment by increasing number of travel lanes in the northbound direction.</li> </ul>	ng the	
	However, the implementation and timing of the Mitigation Me not under the City's control and widening I-880 is not consider feasible due to cost and freeway right-of-way constraints.  Consequently, the Cumulative plus Proposed Plan impact remassignificant and unavoidable.	red to be	
	<ul> <li>I-880 Southbound segment between Marina Boulevard and Was Avenue would operate at LOS F during the PM peak hour unde cumulative plus Proposed Plan condition, which is considered t significant.</li> </ul>	er	

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures		Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
	Implementation of the following n segment operations during the AN lessen the Proposed Plan impact t	n peak hour to LOS D or better and		
	<ul> <li>Add additional capacity to the f number of travel lanes in the so</li> </ul>	reeway segment by increasing the buthbound direction.		
	<ul> <li>I-238 Eastbound segment between would operate at LOS E during the plus Proposed Plan condition, which</li> </ul>	PM peak hour under cumulative		
	Implementation of the following n segment operations during the AN lessen the proposed Plan impact t	A peak hour to LOS D or better and		
	<ul> <li>Add additional capacity to the f number of travel lanes in the ear</li> </ul>	reeway segment by increasing the astbound direction.		
	All impacted freeway sections would widening to mitigate the impacts to lare feasible, then future developmen would contribute its fair share throug improvements. To this end, the City shameda CTC to develop a co-operati improvements and determine the fai mitigations are not certain, the finding	ess than significant. If the widenings it implementing the Proposed Plan igh development fees for street shall coordinate with Caltrans and the ve agreement to fund these is share contribution. Since these		
TRAF-2A: The Proposed Plan would cause the volume-to-	unavoidable.  TRAF-2A: Implementation of the follo		City of San Leandro	The City shall explore the

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measures	Monitoring Responsibility	Implementation and Monitoring Timeline
Drive, which would operate at Level of Service (LOS) F, to increase by 0.04 under Year 2040 conditions in the AM peak hour. Therefore, this is considered a <i>significant</i> impact.	<ul> <li>Widen Doolittle Drive to provide an additional travel lane in the northbound direction;</li> <li>Provide transit or shuttle service that operates between the Proposed Plan site and key locations such as San Leandro and Coliseum BART stations and Oakland International Airport; or</li> <li>Restripe Doolittle Drive to convert the existing bike lanes into buffered or protected bike lanes.</li> <li>Widening Doolittle Drive to provide an additional travel lane in the northbound direction would improve the level of service to LOS D in Year 2040 and would mitigate the Proposed Plan impact to less than significant. However, the feasibility of this measure is uncertain due to right of way constraints along this mostly developed corridor.</li> </ul>	Transportation Department and Public Works Department	Mitigation Measure TRAF-2A, or other equally effective options, when the AM Peak Hour v/c ratio on the northbound segment of Doolittle Drive north of Davis increases by 0.03 or more above 2040 No Project conditions. This equates to a volume of 2,281 in the AM Peak Hour period.
	Alternatively, provision of a shuttle service that operates between the City site and key locations, such as San Leandro and Coliseum BART stations and Oakland International Airport, during the AM and PM peak hour would likely lessen the Proposed Plan's impact on the segment. However, the effectiveness of the shuttle service in reducing the number of Proposed Plan trips on Doolittle Drive cannot be adequately quantified.		
	Provision of buffered or protected bike lanes could make the bike facility attractive to more potential bike riders and lead to a shift in mode of travel among some people and further reduce vehicle trips along Doolittle Drive. However, the degree to which people would shift modes cannot be adequately quantified.		
	As discussed above, the ongoing I-880 Integrated Corridor Management effort led by the MTC that aims to optimize freeway, arterial signal, rail, and bus systems and incorporate Intelligent Transportation System would also help enhance efficiency on the freeway. However, for the reasons listed above this impact would remain <i>significant and unavoidable</i> .		
TRAF-2B: The effect of an increase of Proposed Plan vehicle traffic would cause mixed flow transit operations to be significantly impacted. Since impacts identified under TRAF-1 and their recommended mitigations are uncertain, this could impact mixed flow transit operations in San Leandro and therefore, this is considered a <i>significant</i> impact.	TRAF-2B: Implementation of the mitigation measures unidentified Under TRAF-1A would reduce the impact to transit operations to acceptable levels. However, for the reasons listed above this impact would remain significant and unavoidable.	See Mitigatio	on Measure TRAF-1A.

LTS = Less Than Significant S = Significant SU = Significant Unavoidable Impact